

Application by Ørsted Hornsea Project Four Limited for an Order granting Development Consent for Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm

Hearing Action Points arising from Issue Specific Hearing 7 (ISH7) dealing with matters relating to the draft Development Consent Order held virtually on Monday 18 July 2022

Action	Description	Action by	When
1	Provide opinion whether the minimum air draft for the 'bridge link' should be specified in the draft Development Consent Order (DCO) Article 2 definition and/ or in draft Deemed Marine Licenses (DML).	Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA) and Marine Management Organisation (MMO)	Deadline 6 (D6)
2	Include bridge link in draft DML Part 1 Item 1 definitions and clarify the "overhead personnel clearance" description.	Applicant	D7
3	Amend Schedule 1, Part 1 of the draft DCO to reflect that Work No 5 covers the intertidal zone and the competent authority for this area would be the East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERYC) as well as the MMO.	Applicant	D7
4	Re-confirm and clarify the co- ordinates in the draft DCO related to those in the Works Plan in relation to the intertidal zone.	Applicant	D6
5	Comment on the changed co- ordinates listed in the draft DCO for the intertidal area.	MMO and ERYC	D7
6	Review documents submitted by the Applicant including its [AS-036] response to Natural England's (NE) [REP5a-031] and provide a written response on any outstanding matters of concern.	NE and MMO	D6
7	Review the recording of today's ISH7 discussion and provide any comments by D6.	ММО	D6
8	Confirm satisfaction or not that the Layout Principles in the DMLs would be sufficient in terms of offshore design parameters and that these	MMO and MCA	D6

Action	Description	Action by	When
Action	don't need to be specified in	Action by	Wileii
	Requirement 3 of the draft DCO.		
9	Comment on the [REP5a-031]	MMO	D6
	concerns raised by NE about	1 10	
	Condition 4 of the DMLs regarding		
	licence for cable repair protection		
	(see Condition 26 of Schedule 11 and		
	Condition 26 of Schedule 12).		
10	Listen to the recording of ISH7 and	MMO	D6
	provide comments on the wording of		
	Part 2 Condition 5(1) and Part 2		
	Condition 13(1) as appropriate.		
11	Confirm satisfaction with the	MCA	D6
	Applicant's confirmation that all		
	vessels under the control of the		
	undertaker's agents and contractors		
	would be required by the terms of		
	their contract with the undertaker to		
	comply with the terms of the DMLs,		
	in relation to Schedules 11 and 12		
	Part 2 Condition 5(1).		
12	Provide comments regarding updates	MMO	D6
	for Schedule 11 Part 2, Conditions 17	-	
	to 19, Management Plans.		
13	Comment on NE suggestion that	MMO	D6
	landfall activities should be covered		
	in a separate schedule of the draft		
	DCO and the Applicants response in		
	[AS-036].		
14	Provide a preferred alternative	National Grid	D6
	drafting for the respective Protective	Gas plc and	
	Provisions for use where agreement	National Grid	
	with the Applicant has not been	Electricity	
	reached.	Transmission	
		plc	
15	If agreement not finalised with the	Network Rail	D6 and D7
	Applicant, comment by D6 as to	Infrastructure	
	when preferred drafting for	Limited	
	Protective Provisions would be		
	submitted and whether this would		
	differ from the most recent version		
	contained in Annex 2 of [REP2-086].		
	If it would differ, then provide		
	preferred drafting for the protective		
	provision by D7.		
	F		
L	1	I	1

Action	Description	Action by	When
16	Confirm if it intends to provide	Environment	D6
	preferred wording for Protective	Agency	
	Provision in light of any outstanding	rigerie	
	disagreement regarding the crossing		
	of Watton Beck and disapplication of		
	the Environmental Permitting		
	Regulations and if so, when.		
17	To review wording of Schedules 9	Applicant	D7
17	and 13 in light of the comments	Applicant	
	made by Doggerbank Offshore wind		
	Farm projects 1 and 2 [REP5-093]		
	and amend as necessary.		
18	Consider amending the drafting of	Applicant	D7
10	Schedule 9, Part 8, 2(b) to provide	Applicant	
	greater clarity over the timescale.		
19	Provide detailed response on the	BP Exploration	D6
19	proposed timescales in the Protective	Operating	
	Provisions, including paragraphs 6, 7	Company	
	and 8 as to whether the time frames	Limited (BP)	
	are appropriate and if not , why not	Lillinced (Di)	
	and what timeframes would be		
	appropriate.		
20	Submit alternative proposal for long-	Applicant	D6
20	stop period for the Protective	Applicant	
	Provision to fall away.		
21	Submit without prejudice alternative	BP	D6 or
	wording for Protective Provision		earliest
	together with revised protective		Carnese
	provisions plan.		
22	Consider and instruct whether/ how	Examining	Rule 17
	any confidential information	Authority	raic 17
	regarding compensation could be	racioney	
	considered and reported on should it		
	be submitted into the Examination.		
23	Confirm if satisfied with the latest	NEO Energy	D6
	iteration of the draft Protective	(SNS) Limited	
	Provisions and if not suggest	(5.15) 2	
	alternative wording.		
24	Provide to Bridge Petroleum Limited	Applicant	D6
	an update to Protective Provisions to	, ipplication	
	clarify merged paras 6 and 7.		
25	Once in receipt of Applicant's	Bridge	D6
23	updated version of draft protective	Petroleum	(depending
	provisions, respond as appropriate.	Limited	on early
	p. sticions, respond as appropriate i		receipt of
			the updated
			version)
26	Insertion of protective provision	Applicant and	D7
20	together with explanatory note for	Harbour	
	Harbour Energy Ltd.	Energy Ltd	
	Tranbour Lifergy Ltd.	Lileigy Ltu	

Action	Description	Action by	When
27	Submission of s127/ s138 Case.	Applicant	D7
28	Provide a table/ schedule summarising all Side Agreements, (on the understanding that commercially confidential matters will not be included).	Applicant	D6
29	Liaise with NE regarding the documents that NE wished to be certified.	Applicant	D6
30	Review all of the documents under the Outline Code of Construction Plan to be certified and update accordingly.	Applicant	D7
31	Review document submitted by Applicant [AS-036] and respond with any concerns.	NE	D6
32	Applicant to review the timescales for the Implementation Plans. NE to provide examples of windfarm DCOs that timescales apply to.	Applicant and NE	D6
33	Provide an explanation as to how the without prejudice derogation funding agreement [APP-202] and the main funding statement [APP-224] would still cover the cost of the proposed compensation measures (both those included in the draft DCO and those that have been provided on a without prejudice basis) even though the measures now proposed would differ from those which were originally proposed.	Applicant	D6
34	Discuss with the MCA regarding separate licence for proposed nesting structures if progressed as compensation.	Applicant	D6
35	Review if an update to [APP-233] Consents Management Plan is needed.	Applicant	D6
36	Liaise to produce final, signed Position Statements including any areas of disagreement if required.	Applicant and all Interested Party's	D7
37	Provide schedule of all Position Statements.	Applicant	D7